

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/777,471 | 02/06/2001 | Raul A. Birçann | 89190.157900/DP-303637 | 4278 |
| 7590 05/07/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Delphi Technologies, Inc. | | | BONDERER, DAVID A | |
| P.O. Box 5052 | | | | |
| Mail Code 480414420 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Troy, MI 48007 | | | 3732 | |

DATE MAILED: 05/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/777,471 | BIRCANN ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | D. Austin Bonderer | 3732 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet wit | h the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA | ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 | April 2004. | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ The | nis action is non-final. | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1.3-8 and 10-16 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 13-8 10-16 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | rawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | • | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami | ner. | · | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignation a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life. | ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | pplication No received in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | ummary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | |)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/777,471

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Golovatai-Schmidt et

al.

Golovatai discloses a valve comprising:

- Two pole pieces 21, 22;
- An electric core;
- A bearing 12 axially retained in one of the two pole pieces;
- The effective bearing diameter nearly identical as that of the shaft;
- A gap 7;
- An armature 110;
- A shaft 36;
- Gap filled with air 7;
- Where in the armature 8 does not contact the poles (col. 4 lines 40-50);
- The armature is frusto-conical; and
- Attachable to a device providing for linear actuation.

Application/Control Number: 09/777,471

Art Unit: 3732

4.

Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness 3. rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Golovatai. As mention before the effective inner diameter of Golovatai is nearly identical as the shaft. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to a smaller barring, since it has been held that where the general conditions of the claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re

Also the ratio of the baring length to the shaft diameter is considered to be an optimum range decision.

Claims 6-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Golovantai in 5. view of Hussy et al.

Golovantai discloses a valve. Husssy teaches the use of an EGR valve in a combustible engine. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the valve design of Golovantai in the EGR Valve of Hussy as it is just intended use. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from the prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ 1964 (1987). All the structural limitations of the valve have been met.

Art Unit: 3732

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 4-14-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The prior art anticipates renders obvious all the claims.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Austin Bonderer whose telephone number is 703.306.5911. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P Shaver can be reached on 703.308.2582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dab

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700